## REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Reconsideration of the application is requested.

Claims 1-4 remain in the application. Claims 1 and 4 have been amended. Claim 5 has been cancelled. Claim 2 has been withdrawn.

In deference to the advise of the Examiner in item 1 on page 2 of the above-mentioned Office action, claim 5 has been cancelled.

In item 3 on pages 2-3 of the above-mentioned Office action, claims 1, 3, and 5 have been rejected as being anticipated by Serkh (US Pat. No. 6,379,275 B1) under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e).

In item 5 on pages 3-4 of the above-mentioned Office action, claims 1 and 3-5 have been rejected as being unpatentable over Hoffmann et al. (US Pat. No. 6,250,224 B1) under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

The rejections have been noted and claims 1 and 4 have been amended in an effort to even more clearly define the invention of the instant application. Support for the changes is found in the first paragraph on page 11 of the specification.

Applic. No.: 09/780,307

Amdt. Dated September 27, 2004

Reply to Office action of July 28, 2004

Before discussing the prior art in detail, it is believed that

a brief review of the invention as claimed, would be helpful.

Claims 1 and 4 call for, inter alia:

said belt having a brake strand for braking the flat

printing material.

None of the cited references discloses that the belt has a

brake strand for braking the flat printing material.

It is accordingly believed to be clear that none of the

references, whether taken alone or in any combination, either

show or suggest the features of claims 1 and 4. Claims 1 and

4 are, therefore, believed to be patentable over the art and

since claim 3 is dependent on claim 1, it is believed to be

patentable as well. Claim 5 has been cancelled.

In view of the foregoing, reconsideration and allowance of

claims 1 and 3-4 are solicited. Allowance of non-elected

claim 2 is requested upon allowance of generic claim 1.

In the event the Examiner should still find any of the claims

to be unpatentable, counsel would appreciate a telephone call

so that, if possible, patentable language can be worked out.

Page 5 of 6

Applic. No.: 09/780,307

Amdt. Dated September 27, 2004

Reply to Office action of July 28, 2004

If an extension of time for this paper is required, petition for extension is herewith made. Please charge any fees which might be due with respect to 37 CFR Sections 1.16 and 1.17 to the Deposit Account of Lerner and Greenberg, P.A., No. 12-1099.

Respectfully submitted,

For Applicants

LAURENCE A. GREENBERG REG. NO. 29,308

YC

September 27, 2004

Lerner and Greenberg, P.A.

Post Office Box 2480

Hollywood, FL 33022-2480

Tel: (954) 925-1100 Fax: (954) 925-1101